

Mini Review

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A mini review

Nathan Buila and Jean-René M'Buyamba-Kabangu*

Hypertension Unit, Cardiology, University of Kinshasa Hospital, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa 11, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Over the past 20 years, there has been a surge of clinical investigations and useful trials on heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). HFpEF represents the largest phenotype of HF, a public health concern for its link with high mortality and rates of morbidity. In this mini review, we recap HFpEF details from definition to management strategies through pathophysiological standpoints. HFpEF associates HF symptomatology (dyspnea on exertion, fatigue), biochemistry (raised natriuretic peptide levels) along with echocardiographic evidence (left ventricular diastolic dysfunction or significant structural heart disease, with EF \geq 50%), and in particular occurrences invasive hemodynamic sizes [1]. HFpEF is characterized by an elevation in left ventricular pressures, the cornerstone of diastolic dysfunction. Notwithstanding apparent preserved systolic function, hidden abnormalities may lead to left atrium dysfunction, pulmonary hypertension and alteration in right ventricular function.

The prevalence of HFpEF has been increasing with age and its comorbidities. Several similarities (risk factors) and differences (comorbidities) have been reported in HFpEF versus HF with midrange EF (HFmrEF) and HF with reduced EF (HFrEF) with regards to their epidemiology (percentages of each subgroup), clinical indicators (left ventricular measurements), lab findings (NT-proBNP concentrations) and underlying mechanisms (inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, diastolic dysfunction linked with myocardial fibrosis and calcium homeostasis). The HFpEF patients are more likely to be older and of female gender while the HFrEF ones are mostly of male gender [2]. Production of natriuretic peptides and their circulating levels are lower in HFpEF than in HFrEF as hypertrophic hearts with small left ventricular cavity in HFpEF patients do not substantially elevate end-diastolic wall stress. Patients with HFmrEF had larger left ventricular and atrial dimensions but lower left ventricular ejection fraction when compared with the HFpEF.

The pathophysiology of HFpEF, largely undetermined, lies in coronary microvascular, large vessels dysfunction; and extracardiac pathologies such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, metabolic syndrome, and kidney disease which frequently

cohabit, initiating intramyocardial inflammation. Neuro-adrenergic overactivity and baroreflex dysfunction common to all forms of HF appear directly proportional to the alteration of the left ventricular ejection [1,3]. Recent data have pointed out the link between HFpEF and comorbidities such as arterial hypertension, atrial fibrillation (AF)/atrial flutter, anemia, hemochromatosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Moreover, HFpEF patients exhibit systemic vascular abnormalities (abnormal peripheral vessel compliance), endothelial and adipocyte modifications, and changes in skeletal muscle (sarcopenia and decreased oxygen utilization). In postmenopausal women, studies have identified estrogen (E2) deficits and estrogen receptor (ERs) lethargy to induce left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and progress in HFpEF. Infiltrative cardiomyopathies; such as amyloidosis, have been pointed out as seldom etiology of HFpEF [4].

Despite its increasing prevalence, the understanding and treatment of HFpEF remain limited. Based on the results of referenced studies, the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) have made some recommendations for the management of HFpEF. The SPRINT study mentioned the role of intensive blood pressure control in preventing HF and improving cardiovascular outcomes. This study highlighted the benefit of effective control in hypertensive patients with HFpEF (Class 1c). In non-symptomatic HF patients with high levels of natriuretic peptides, the EMPEROR-Preserved study underlined the significant benefit of SGLT2i such as dapagliflozin

More Information

*Address for Correspondence: Jean-René M'Buyamba-Kabangu, Hypertension Unit, Cardiology, University of Kinshasa Hospital, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa 11, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Email: jerembu@yahoo.fr

Submitted: July 08, 2022

Approved: July 21, 2022

Published: July 22, 2022

How to cite this article: Buila N, M'Buyamba-Kabangu JR. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A mini review. Arch Vas Med. 2022; 6: 005-006.

DOI: 10.29328/journal.avm.1001016

Copyright License: © 2022 Buila N, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.





and empagliflozin in decreasing HF hospitalizations and cardiovascular lethality (Class 2a) [5]. Due to the absence of sizable randomized studies, the management of HFpEF patients with AF can be modeled on the clinical recommendations for AF (Class 2a) [6]. Regarding the use of MRA in patients with HFpEF, the TOPCAT study showed its beneficial effect in increasing diastolic function and reducing HF hospitalizations (Class 2b) with an additional risk of hyperkalemia and renal failure. Angiotensin type 1 receptor blockade (ARB) and angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibition (ARNi) have been found to decrease HF hospitalizations in patients with HFpEF (Class 2b) [7].

In addition, hypertension treatment, use of SGLT2i, and lifestyle changes such as weight loss, dietary approach, physical activity, and cardiorespiratory fitness may reduce the incidence of HFpEF [8]. On the other hand, the use of left atrial assist device (LAAD) realized by transcatheter implantation of an interatrial shunt device (IASD) to increase aortic pressure and decrease LA pressure in keeping arterial pulsatility, although promising, needs further studies [9].

References

- Shah SJ, Kitzman DW, Borlaug BA, van Heerebeek L, Zile MR, Kass DA, Paulus WJ. Phenotype-Specific Treatment of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Multiorgan Roadmap. *Circulation*. 2016 Jul 5;134(1):73-90. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.021884. PMID: 27358439; PMCID: PMC4930115.
- Li P, Zhao H, Zhang J, Ning Y, Tu Y, Xu D, Zeng Q. Similarities and Differences Between HFmrEF and HFpEF. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021 Sep 20;8:678614. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.678614. PMID: 34616777; PMCID: PMC8488158.
- Seravalle G, Quarti-Trevano F, Dell'Oro R, Gronda E, Spaziani D, Facchetti R, Cuspidi C, Mancia G, Grassi G. Sympathetic and baroreflex alterations in congestive heart failure with preserved, midrange and reduced ejection fraction. *J Hypertens*. 2019 Feb;37(2):443-448. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000001856. PMID: 30020242.
- Pfeffer MA, Shah AM, Borlaug BA. Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction In Perspective. *Circ Res*. 2019 May 24;124(11):1598-1617. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.313572. PMID: 31120821; PMCID: PMC6534165.
- Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Böhm M, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Choi DJ, Chopra V, Chuquiure-Valenzuela E, Giannetti N, Gomez-Mesa JE, Janssens S, Januzzi JL, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Merkely B, Nicholls SJ, Perrone SV, Piña IL, Ponikowsky P, Senni M, Sim D, Spinar J, Squire I, Taddei S, Tsutsui H, Verma S, Vinereanu D, Zhang J, Carson P, Lam CSP, Marx N, Zeller C, Sattar N, Jamal W, Schnaidt S, Schnee JM, Brueckmann M, Pocock SJ, Zannad F, Packer M; EMPEROR-Preserved Trial Investigators. Empagliflozin in Heart Failure with a Preserved Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med*. 2021 Oct 14;385(16):1451-1461. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107038. Epub 2021 Aug 27. PMID: 34449189.
- Ariyaratnam JP, Elliott AD, Mishima RS, Gallagher C, Lau DH, Sanders P. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: An alternative paradigm to explain the clinical implications of atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm O2*. 2021 Dec 17;2(6Part B):771-783. doi: 10.1016/j.hroo.2021.09.015. PMID: 34988529; PMCID: PMC8710629.
- Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, Deswal A, Drazner MH, Dunlay SM, Evers LR, Fang JC, Fedson SE, Fonarow GC, Hayek SS, Hernandez AF, Khazanie P, Kittleson MM, Lee CS, Link MS, Milano CA, Nwacheta LC, Sandhu AT, Stevenson LW, Vardeny O, Vest AR, Yancy CW. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2022 May 3;145(18):e895-e1032. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001063. Epub 2022 Apr 1. Erratum in: *Circulation*. 2022 May 3;145(18):e1033. PMID: 35363499.
- Maryniak A, Maisuradze N, Ahmed R, Biskupski P, Jayaraj J, Budzikowski AS. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction update: A review of clinical trials and new therapeutic considerations. *Cardiol J*. 2022;29(4):670-679. doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2022.0051. Epub 2022 Jun 28. PMID: 35762077; PMCID: PMC9273251.
- Miyagi C, Fukamachi K, Kuban BD, Gao S, Miyamoto T, Flick CR, Polakowski AR, Horvath DJ, Starling RC, Karimov JH. Left Atrial Circulatory Assistance in Simulated Diastolic Heart Failure Model: First in Vitro and in Vivo. *J Card Fail*. 2022 May;28(5):789-798. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2021.11.024. Epub 2022 Jan 10. PMID: 35027316; PMCID: PMC9106897.